



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/743,443

12/19/2003

Jose Luis Moctezuma De La Barrera

29997/065

1735

29471 7590 05/21/2008
MCCRACKEN & FRANK LLP
311 S. WACKER DRIVE
SUITE 2500
CHICAGO, IL 60606

EXAMINER

RAJ, RAJIV J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3626

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/21/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/743,443	Applicant(s) BARRERA, JOSE LUIS MOCTEZUMA DE LA	
	Examiner RAJIV J. RAJ	Art Unit 3626	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) RAJIV J. RAJ. (3) Kato Yang.
 (2) Robert W. Morgan. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-30.

Identification of prior art discussed: Van Der Brug, Malackowski.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed proposed amendments to independent claims and it was suggested to the Applicant to further define "context" and "determining", as well as to adding the phrase "multi-step" to "step within the procedure" to better convey the Application invention.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Robert Morgan/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3626

 Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.